## CHIRAL TOLUENE-2,α-SULTAM AUXILIARIES: ASYMMETRIC ALKYLATIONS, ACYLATIONS AND ALDOLIZATIONS OF N-ACYL DERIVATIVES

W. Oppolzer\*, Inès Rodriguez, Christian Starkemann and Eric Walther

## Département de Chimie Organique, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

<u>Abstract</u>: Successive treatment of (R)-N-acylsultams <u>4</u> with NHDMS/alkyl halides or NHDMS/acyl chlorides provides alkylated or acylated products <u>6</u> or <u>7</u>. Diastereoselective reductions of <u>7</u> with  $Zn(BH_4)_2$  or NaHB(sBu)\_3 gives "syn"- or "anti"-aldols <u>8</u> or <u>9</u>. Reaction of <u>4</u> with BEt<sub>3</sub>/TfOH/EtN(*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub> followed by addition of aromatic or aliphatic aldehydes affords diastereomerically pure "syn"-aldols <u>10</u>. Non-destructive removal of auxiliary <u>3</u> from <u>6</u>, <u>8</u>, <u>9</u> and <u>10</u> yields enantiomerically pure products <u>12</u> to <u>16</u>.

*N*-Acylbornane-10,2-sultams 1 provide stereochemically pure, crystalline  $\alpha$ -substituted products 2 via metalation and subsequent reaction with a variety of electrophiles El<sup>+</sup> (e.g., alkyl halides, <sup>1a,b</sup> aldehydes, <sup>1c</sup> 1-chloro-1nitrosocyclohexane, <sup>1d</sup> NBS, <sup>1e</sup> etc., Scheme 1).

Scheme 1



Exploring the potential of the related saccharin-derived sultam  $\underline{3}$  (and its readily available antipode) as a chiral auxiliary, <sup>2</sup> we focus here on asymmetric carbon/carbon bond forming reactions. Sultam  $\underline{3}$  was smoothly transformed into acyl derivatives  $\underline{4}$  following protocols for the preparation of  $\underline{1}$ . <sup>1</sup>

Alkylations (Scheme 2, Table 1): 3

Deprotonation of <u>4</u> with sodium hexamethyldisilazide (NHMDS) followed by treatment with benzyl- or allyl iodide, flash chromatography (FC) and crystallization (MeOH) furnished stereochemically pure alkylation products <u>6a</u>, <u>6c</u> or <u>6f</u> (entries 1,3,6). Alkylations with less reactive methyl iodide or *t*-butyl α-bromoacetate were carried out in the presence of HMPA or Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (entries 2,4 or 5) whereas ethyliodide failed to give the corresponding product <u>6</u>. <u>Scheme 2</u>



_	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> X	Additive	Time [h	] Product	Purification	m.p.[°C]	d.e.[%]	yield [%]
1	Me	PhCH <sub>2</sub> I		2.5	<u>6a</u>	FC/cryst.	73-74	> 99	81
2	PhCH <sub>2</sub>	MeI	HMPA	6.5	<u>6b</u>	FC/cryst.	7 <b>9-80</b>	> 99	71
3	Me	CH2≈CH-CH2I		3.5	<u>6c</u>	FC/cryst.	38-39	> 97*)	75
4	CH2=CH-CH2	MeI	HMPA	5.5	<u>6d</u>	FC	oil	90ª)	91
5	Me	tBuO <sub>2</sub> C-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	Bu <sub>4</sub> NI	6.0	<u>6e</u>	FC	oil	> 99	76
6	N=CPh <sub>2</sub>	PhCH <sub>2</sub> I		8.0	<u>61</u>	FC/cryst.	156-157	> 99	71

Table 1 : Asymmetric Alkylations  $\underline{4} \rightarrow \underline{6}$ 

a) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz).

The topicity of the transformations  $\underline{4} \rightarrow \underline{6}$  are consistent with a predominant attack of the electrophile to the  $C(\alpha)$ -Re face of the chelated (Z)-"enolate"  $\underline{5-I}$ .

Acylations/Reductions (Scheme 2, Table 2): 3

Table 2 : Asymmetric Acylation  $\underline{4} \rightarrow \underline{7}$ 

R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	d.e.[%] crude	Product	Purific	ation m.p.[°C]	d.e.[%]	yield [%]	
7	Me	Ph	99.4	<u>7a</u>	FC/cryst.	120-121	> 99	89
8	Me	Me	86 <sup>n)</sup>	<u>7b</u>	FC/cryst.	104-105	99.2	62
9	Me	Et	91	<u>7c</u>	FC/cryst.	113-114	> 99	71
10	Me	CHMe <sub>2</sub>	92.8	<u>7d</u>	FC/cryst.	64-65	> 97	76
11	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CHMe <sub>2</sub>	> 97 <sup>b)</sup>	<u>7e</u>	FC	oil	> 97 <sup>b</sup> )	81
12	Et	Ph	> 99	<u>7f</u>	FC/cryst.	108-109	> 99	71
13	Et	Me	97.6	<u>7g</u>	FC	oil	97.6	70

a) GC; b) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): only 1 isomer visible.

The same topicity was displayed by the C-acylation reactions  $4 \rightarrow 7$ . <sup>4</sup> Successive treatment of acylsultams 4 with NHDMS and a carboxylic acid chloride afforded 1,3-dicarbonyl products 7. Those epimerized only slowly with 1 <u>M</u> NEt<sub>3</sub> (25 molequiv in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, r.t., 12 h: (S)- $7a \rightarrow (S)$ -7a + (R)-7a 1:1), analogous to N-(3-oxoacyl)oxazolidinones. <sup>4</sup>a The lowest diastereoselectivity was observed on acylation of 4, R<sup>1</sup> = Me with (the sterically least demanding) acetyl chloride (entry 8). However, resulting 7b (d.e. = 86%) was conveniently purified (d.e. = 99.2%) by FC/crystallization, as were most other C-acylation products 7.

Table 3 : Syn- or Anti- Aldols g or 9 by Diastereoselective Reductions of N-(3-Oxoacyl)sultams 7

	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	Hydride	Ratio 8/9	Purification	Major Product	m.p.[°C]	purity[%]	yield [%]
14	Me	Ph	Zn(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	99.1 : 0.9	cryst.	<u>8a</u>	126-127	> 99	82
15	Me	Me	Zn(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	91.3 : 8.7	FC	<u>8b</u>	oil	> 99	81
16	Me	CHMe <sub>2</sub>	Zn(BH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	98.8 : 1.2	cryst.	<u>8d</u>	108-109	> 99	72
17	Me	Ph	NaHB(sBu)3	0.7:99.3	FC	<u>9a</u>	146-147	> 99	82
18	Me	Me	NaHB(sBu)3	0.2:99.8	FC	<u>9b</u>	oil	> 99	80
19	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CHMe <sub>2</sub>	NaHB(sBu)3 <sup>b)</sup>	2.1:97.9	FC	<u>9e</u>	oil	> 99	53
20	Et	Me	NaHB(sBu)3		FC	<u>9</u> g	oil	> 97ª)	80

a) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz): only 1 isomer visible; b) at -50°C.

Chelate-controlled reduction of N-(3-oxoacyl)sultams  $\underline{7}$  with zinc borohydride 4a, 5a proceeded without epimerization and afforded selectively "syn"-aldols § (Scheme 2, Table 3, entries 14 to 16).

"Anti"-aldols 2 were obtained almost exclusively when reducing the keto group of  $\underline{7}$  with sodium tri-sbutylborohydride (entries 17 to 20). <sup>5</sup> The purity of aldols  $\underline{8}$  and  $\underline{9}$  was increased to >99% by crystallization or FC.

## Aldolizations (Scheme 3, Table 4): 3

More directly, "syn"-aldols 10 were produced by successive treatment of acylsultam 4 ( $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{M}e$ ) with a dialkylboryl triflate/EtN(*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub> and an aldehyde (Scheme 3, Table 4).

Scheme 3



Table 4 : Asymmetric Aldolizations  $4 \rightarrow 10$ 

	R <sup>1</sup>	Aldehyde R <sup>3</sup>	Bor R (r	yltriflate nolequiv)	Enolate formation [°C]	Conversion of <u>4</u>	Product Ratio 10/8/11/9	Product	Yield cryst [9	M.p.[°C]	Purity [%]
21	Me	Ph	Bu	(1.4)	-10 to -5	94	97.8/0 / 2.2 / 0	<u>10a</u>	58	117-118	> 99
22	Me	Ph	Bu	(2.0)	-10 to -5	62	7.5/41.4/27.7/23.4	l			
23	Me	<i>i</i> Bu	Bu	(0.7)	-5	56	98 / 2 / 0 / 0				
24	Me	<i>i</i> Bu	Bu	(1.2)	-5	61	21 /43 /36 / 0				
25	Me	iPr	Bu	(1.6)	-5	90	93.7/ 1.5/ 1.8/ 3	<u>10d</u>	50	112-114	98.5
26	Me	Ph	Et	(2.0) <sup>a)</sup>	-5	100 :	99 / 0 / 0 / 0	<u>10a</u>	84	118-119	> 99
27	Me	Me	Et	(2.0) <sup>a)</sup>	-5	100 :	>99 / 0 / 0 / 0	<u>10b</u>	71	104-106	> 99
28	Me	iPr	Et	(2.0) <sup>a)</sup>	-5	<b>99</b> :	99 / 0 / 0 / 0	<u>10d</u>	95 <sup>b</sup> )	oil	> 99
29	Me	iBu	Et	(2.0) <sup>a)</sup>	-5	92 :	<b>&gt;99 / 0 / 0 / 0</b>	<u>10e</u>	78	113-114	> 99

a) Prepared in situ from BEt<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H; b) Purified by FC.

Employing freshly prepared dibutylboryl triflate led to incomplete conversions and an excess of Bu<sub>2</sub>BOTf/EtN(*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub> resulted in lower stereoselectivities (cf., entries 21/22, 23/24). More conveniently, more efficiently and more selectively, aldols 10 were obtained by using *in situ* prepared diethylboryl triflate/EtN(*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub> (2 molequiv, entries 26 to 29). <sup>1</sup>C Under these conditions a variety of aldehydes furnished without exception pure aldols 10 in high yields. As expected, <sup>1</sup>C aldolizations  $4 \rightarrow 10$  reflect an electrophilic attack to the opposite "enolate"  $\pi$ -face (C( $\alpha$ )-Si) than observed with alkylations  $4 \rightarrow 6$  and acylations  $4 \rightarrow 7$ . We ascribe this dichotomy to the transition state 5-III<sup>#</sup> again involving a (Z)-"enolate". However, with the boron atom being fully coordinated (and, thus, incapable of chelation with a SO<sub>2</sub> oxygen atom) the "enolate" adopts an (electrostatically favored) N-SO<sub>2</sub>/C-OML<sub>n</sub> s-trans conformation.

Hydrolyses. Absolute Configurations (Scheme 4): 3



Hydroperoxide-assisted saponification ( $\underline{6a} \rightarrow \underline{12}$ ), combined with esterification ( $\underline{8a} \rightarrow \underline{13}$ ,  $\underline{9a} \rightarrow \underline{14}$ ,  $\underline{10a} \rightarrow \underline{15}$  and  $\underline{10d} \rightarrow \underline{16}$ ) provided enantiomerically pure  $\alpha$ -branched carboxylic acid  $\underline{12}$  or methoxycarbonyl aldols  $\underline{13}$  to  $\underline{16}$  in good yields with 92 to 94% recovery of auxiliary sultam 3. The absolute configurations of  $\underline{12}$ ,  $\underline{13}$ ,  $\underline{15}$  and  $\underline{16}$  were determined by comparing their optical rotations with published reference values. This correlation allowed to assign the sense of induction in alkylations  $\underline{4} \rightarrow \underline{6}$ , acylations  $\underline{4} \rightarrow \underline{7}$  and  $\underline{4} \rightarrow \underline{10}$  as depicted in the Schemes 2, 3 and 4. *Conclusion*:

The topicities of the alkylations, acylations and aldolizations described here parallel those observed with N-acylbornane-10,2-sultams 1. <sup>1</sup> An advantageous application of a related toluene-2, $\alpha$ -sultam auxiliary will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements: Financial support of this work by the Swiss National Science Foundation, Sandoz AG, Basel, And Givaudan SA, Vernier is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to Mr. J.P. Saulnier, Mr A. Pinto and Mrs. C. Clément for NMR and MS measurements.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- a) W. Oppolzer, R. Moretti, S. Thomi, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>1989</u>, 30, 5603; b) idem, ibid., <u>1989</u>, 30, 6009; c) W. Oppolzer, J. Blagg, I. Rodriguez, E. Walther, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1990</u>, 112, 2767; d) W. Oppolzer, O Tamura, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>1990</u>, 31, 991; e) W. Oppolzer, Pure & Appl. Chem. <u>1990</u>, 62, in press.
- 2) W. Oppolzer, M. Wills, C. Starkemann, G. Bernardinelli, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, in press.
- 3) All new compounds were characterized by IR, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and MS. D.e. values were determined by direct HPLC analyses, unless otherwise stated. Aldol-stereoisomer ratios 10/8/11/2 were determined by comparison (HPLC of crude products or GC of TBDMS derivatives) with authentic mixtures. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra showed typical coupling constants J(2,3) = 3-4.5 Hz for "syn"-aldols (8, 10, 13, 15, 16) and J(2,3) = 7-58.5 Hz for "anti"-aldols (2, 14). Melting point: 4,  $R^1 = Me: 94.5-95^{\circ}C.$  [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> values, (20° C, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, g/100 ml): 4,  $R^1 = Me: -19.5^{\circ}$  (1.2); 12: +28.3°(0.85), Lit <sup>1a</sup>: +29.3° (1.15); 13: -23.6° (1.66), Lit <sup>1c</sup> antipode: +23.5° (3.2); 14: +58.9° (1.88); 15: +26.1°, Lit <sup>1c</sup> : +23.5° (3.2); 16: +9.4° (0.83), Lit <sup>1c</sup> : -7.14° (1.19). The following procedures are representative: Acylation of Sultam 3: Propionyl chloride (2.28 ml, 26 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was added to a mixture of sultam 3 (4.0 g, 22 mmol), NEt<sub>3</sub> (34 ml, 24 mmol) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (22 ml) at 0°C. Stirring for 3 h, workup and crystallization (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O) gave <u>4</u>,  $R^1 = Me$  (4.48 g, 85%). Acylsultam <u>4</u>,  $R^1 = N=CPh_2$  was prepared from <u>3</u>,  $MeO_2CCH_2N=CPh_2/Me_3Al$  c.f. ref <sup>1b</sup>. Alkylation: Sultam <u>4</u>, R<sup>1</sup> = Me (250 mg, 1.04 mmol) in THF (3 ml) was added to 1 M NHDMS (THF, 1.14 mmol) over 0.5 h at -78°C. Stirring for 0.5 h, addition of benzyl iodide (270 µl, 2.14 mmol), stirring at -78°C for 2.5 h, quenching with sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, workup, FC (hexane/EtOAc 9:1) and crystallization (MeOH) gave product 6a (277 mg, 81%). Acylation: 1 M NHDMS (2.46 ml in THF) was added to sultam 4, R<sup>1</sup> = Me (535 mg, 2.24 mmol) in THF (10 ml) at -78°. Stirring for 0.5 h, addition of benzoyl chloride (0.68 ml, 3.3 mmol) at -78°C, continued stirring for 0.5 to 1h, quenching with aq. phosphate buffer (pH = 7), work up and crystallization (EtOH) provided N-(30x0acyl)sultam 7a (668 mg, 89%). (Acylation of  $4 R^1$  = Me with acetyl- and *n*-propionyl chlorides, entries 8,9 were carried out in the presence of HMPA (1 molequiv)). Reductions of Oxoacylsultam: a) 0.16 M  $Zn(BH_d)$ (Et2O, 2 ml) was added to 7a (100 mg, 0.29 mmol) in Et2O (10 ml) at -10°. Stirring at -10°C for 0.5 h, addition of 3N aq. HCl, workup and crystallization (hexane/ether) gave "syn"-aldol 8a (82 mg, 82%). b) 1 M Sodium selectride (THF, 1 ml) was added dropwise to 7a (250 mg, 0.73 mmol) in THF (4 ml) at -78°C. Stirring for 0.5 h, quenching with phosphate buffer, work up and FC (SiO2, hexane/EtOAc 4:1) yielded "anti"-aldol 2a (205 mg, 82%). Aldolization: CF3SO3H (73.8 µl, 0.84 mmol) was added to 1M BEt3 (hexane, 0.84 mmol) at r.t. Stirring at 40°C until the gas evolution had ceased (~5 min), cooling to -5°C, addition of 4, R<sup>1</sup> = Me (100 mg, 0.42 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.4 ml) and of 1 M NEt(*i*Pr)<sub>2</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.9 ml), stirring at -5°C for 0.5 h, addition of benzaldehyde (85µi, 0.84 mmol) at -78°C, stirring at -78°C for 20 min, addition of aq. phosphate buffer (2 ml), extraction with Et<sub>2</sub>O, washing of the extracts with sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), evaporation, FC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexane/EtOAc 2:1) and crystallization (MeOH) afforded aldol 10a (122mg, 84%). Saponification/Esterification: Aq. (30%) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (74 µl, 0.72 mmol) and LiOH.H<sub>2</sub>O (15.2 mg, 0.36 mmol) were added at 0°C to 10a (100 mg, 0.29 mmol) in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1, 2 ml). Stirring at 0°C for 2 h, addition of sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (1 ml), basification to pH = 11 (NH4OH), extraction (CH2Cl2), drying and evaporation of the extracts and crystallization of the residue gave sultam 3 (49 mg, 92%). Acidification of the aq. phase to pH = 1 (HCl), extraction (Et<sub>2</sub>O), drying of the extracts, addition of CH2N2 (excess), evaporation and FC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) furnished hydroxyester 15 (53 mg, 94%).
- Previously published asymmetric acylations of chiral "enolates": a) D.A. Evans, M.D. Ennis, T. Le, N. Mandel, G. Mandel J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1984</u>, 106, 1154; b) Y. Ito, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* <u>1984</u>, 25, 6015.

5) Previously published reductions of 3-oxoamide derivatives a) with Zn(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> giving "syn"-aldols <sup>4</sup>; b) with KBEt<sub>3</sub>H giving "anti"-aldols: Y. Ito T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Tetrahedron Lett. <u>1985</u>, 26, 4643.

(Received in Germany 2 July 1990)